

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	IO. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/674,655		09/29/2003	Vivian A. Wright	127000.0301	127000.0301 4783	
21269	7590	06/15/2006		EXAMINER		
	HAMILTO			DIXON, ANNETTE FREDRICKA		
	NT STREET	TER, 50TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PITTSBU	JRGH, PA	15219		3743		
				DATE MAIL ED: 06/15/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	Y				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>				
	10/674,655	WRIGHT, VIVIAN A.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-				
	Annette F. Dixon	3743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
Period for Reply			\ <u>'</u> 0				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a repid will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHE, cause the application to become ABAR	ATION. by be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication STOONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 s	September 2003.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	I1, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152	2.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,, priemy amaer de crever g						
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		olication No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not re	ceived.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/674,655 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 8-15, drawn to a Method for Nebulizing a Tracheostomy Tube, classified in class 128, subclass 207.14.
- II. Claims 1-7, drawn to a Nebulizing Pad Apparatus, classified in class 602, subclass 44.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the nebulizing pad apparatus could be attached to another location other than a tracheostomy tube to assist in nebulizing a fluid to a patient, such as, an endotracheal tube, a mouthpiece, over the mouth or placed under the nose of a patient.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 3743

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

- 5. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 6. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Annette F. Dixon whose telephone number is (571) 272-3392. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on (571) 272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,655 Page 4

Art Unit: 3743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AFD

June 6, 2006

Henry Benney

rvisory Patent E